

Butler, Jennie C

From: EXECSEC
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 1999 2:30 PM
To: Butler, Jennie C
Subject: FW: Irradiation

98N-1038

-----Original Message-----

From: Henney, Jane
Sent: Monday, August 23, 1999 10:50 AM
To: EXECSEC
Subject: FW: Irradiation

From: Wardlaw, Corrie[SMTP:WARDLAWC@TREEOFLIFE.COM]
Sent: Monday, August 23, 1999 10:51:13 AM
To: 'Tom.Billy@usda.gov'
Cc: 'JHENNEY@oc.fda.gov'
Subject: Irradiation
Auto forwarded by a Rule

I personally do not wish to consume irradiated foods. I find the whole concept disturbing on many levels. Any foods offered in grocery stores should be prominently marked - the key word is PROMINENTLY. I don't think much of the romanticized flower that is being used, but that's better than nothing. What do flowers & nuclear waste have in common...not much.

This process of notification for consumers should extend to foods offered in restaurants. If the restaurant chooses to serve irradiated food, I should have the choice to refuse and go elsewhere.

I consider irradiation a short-term solution for problems that the food industry and the military have not been forced to deal with. No other country (that I'm aware of) would think of tainting their food with nuclear waste! Why are we?

Thank you,
Corrie Wardlaw